

REMARKS

The Restriction Requirement of December 11, 2006 sets forth two groups of claims; claims 1-16 drawn to a method or device for changing an orientation and claims 17-25 drawn to a mobile phone. The Office asserts that the inventions in Groups I and II are unrelated and, in particular, that the two groups of claims are different inventions because they have different modes of operation, one being a method or device for changing an orientation and the other being a mobile phone system.

Claim 17, originally directed to a mobile phone, has now been amended to recite a device according to claim 15. Therefore, since claim 17 is dependent upon a claim in Group I, it is respectfully submitted that claim 17 is now part of Group I.

For similar reasons, claims 18-25, all of which are ultimately depend from claim 1, are now part of Group I.

Please note that original claim 15 has been amended so as to not use means-plus-function terminology and newly submitted claim 26 corresponds to original claim 15 and is therefore written using means-plus-function terminology. Claim 13 is canceled without prejudice. Claims 1-7, 9-12 and 14-25 have been amended to not use acronyms.

For all of the foregoing reasons, it is respectfully requested that all of the claims of the present application, except canceled claim 13, be examined.

The undersigned respectfully submits that no fee is due for filing this Response. The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge to deposit account 23-0442 any fee deficiency required to submit this paper.

Respectfully submitted,



Alfred A. Fressola
Attorney for Applicant
Reg. No. 27,550

Dated: January 9, 2007

WARE, FRESSOLA, VAN DER SLUYS
& ADOLPHSON LLP
Bradford Green, Building Five
755 Main Street, P.O. Box 224
Monroe, CT 06468
Telephone: (203) 261-1234
Facsimile: (203) 261-5676
USPTO Customer No. 004955